C D M I

CENTER FOR DISRUPTIVE MUSCULOSKELETAL INNOVATIONS

Predictive Modelling of Surgical Outcomes for Lumbar Degenerative Disorders and Complex Spinal Deformity: Multidisciplinary conference versus computer modeling

> Sigurd Berven, MD Paramjit Singh, MD Deeptee Jain, MD John Ibrahim, BA niversity of California, San Francisc

WWW.NSFCDMI.ORG

Background



- Complex degenerative and adult deformity spine surgery
 - Significant variability in
 - Surgical approaches
 - Expected clinical outcomes
 - Expected rates of complication
- Predictive modeling
 - Empower informed choice for patients
 - Guides evidence-based treatment recommendations
- With a better understanding of expected outcomes, complications, and the appropriateness of a given surgical procedure in a particular patient can be determined.



Purpose



- Purpose
 - Identify predictor variables for:
 - Clinical improvement
 - Readmission
 - Revision surgery
 - Appropriateness of surgery
 - Develop a prospective predictive model based upon patient specific and diagnosis specific variables
 - Compare with this model and established models with accuracy of a multidisciplinary conference



Project Components



- Retrospective chart review based model
- Retrospective large data set model
- Multidisciplinary case based model



Retrospective Data Analysis

- Retrospectively reviewed 100 consecutive patient charts
 - Patients >60 years old
 - >3 level surgery
 - Diagnosis: Adult spinal deformity
- Pre-operative variables of interest
 - Age
 - Gender
 - ASA class
 - Mets Score
 - BMI
 - Smoking status
 - Narcotic usage
 - Staged surgery
 - Number of levels
 - Depression
 - Circumforantial fusion

- Fracture hx
- DEXA
- Diabetes status
- Nutrition
- Infection hx
- Renal disease
- Liver disease
- DVT/PE hx
- Cardiac disease
- Social Support
- Frailty

USCULOSKELE



- Outcomes
 - Length of stay
 - 30 day and 90 day
 - Readmission
 - Re-operation





Length of Stay Multivariate Analysis

LOS Linear Multivariate Regression				
Variable	LOS Estimate (Days)	Lower 95% Cl	Upper 95% Cl	P-Value
Age: 74-89	5.839	0.051	11.627	0.048
Poor Wound Healing	14.996	6.283	23.709	0.0010
Liver Disease	16.149	0.047	32.251	0.049
Respiratory Disease	5.492	0.499	10.484	0.031





Multivariate Analysis Results 30 and 90 Day Readmission/Reoperation

Readmission and Reoperation Multivariate Regression Results				
	Odds Ratio	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% Cl	P-value
30 - Day Readmission				
Infection History	6.715	1.439	31	0.015
30 - Day Reoperation				
Renal Disease	35.554	1.647	768	0.023
Narcotic_MME	27.717	1.378	557	0.030
90 - Day Readmission				
Renal Disease	13.923	3.1	63	0.0006
Rheumatic disorder	11.419	2.4	54	0.0022
90 - Day Reoperation				
Renal Disease	12.0	2.5	58.7	0.0021
Rheumatic disorder	9.2	1.745	48.0	0.0088



Reasons for Readmission	
	N
30 - Day Readmission	
Wound Dehiscence	2
Wound Infection	3
Radiculopathy /Neuro Deficit	2
Colonic Perforation	1
Lymphocele	1
Pelvic Hematoma	1
90 - Day Readmission	
Wound Infection	2
Wound Dehiscence	6
Hardware Complication	1
Radiculopathy /Neuro Deficit	1
Pneumonia	1
Delayed Fusion	1
Pelvic Hematoma	1

Reasons for Re-Operation	
	N
30 - Day Reoperation	
Wound Revision Closure	2
Wound Irrigation and debridement	2
Revision Decompression	2
Pelvic Hematoma Evacuation	1
90 - Day Reoperation	
Wound Revision Closure	5
Wound Irrigation and Debridement	2
Revision Fusion	1
Pelvic Hematoma Evacuation	1
Hardware Revision	1
Revision Decompression	1



- UPDATE
 - Presented at State of Spine Surgery Think Tank
 - Pending: Submission to Spine Deformity





- Purpose
 - To identify the risk factors associated with readmission and quantify the increase in risk in patients undergoing short lumbar fusions
 - Create a scale that can accurately predict the risk of readmission
 - To validate this scale in a separate cohort of patients.





- Methods
 - Case control study of an administrative claims database.
 - Utilized the State Inpatient Database (SID)
 - Part of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project under the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
 - Largest all-payer database comprising all hospital admissions.
 - Each patient is assigned a unique identifying number which can then be tracked across different time points and hospitals.





- Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
 - All patients age > 18 undergoing 1-2 level lumbar spine fusion were included
 - Patients were identified using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) procedure codes 81.62 AND 81.07, 81.08, 81.37, or 81.38.
 - Excluded if they had ICD-9 codes for any of the following diagnoses: bone cancer/metastases, infection, and trauma.



- Results:
- 92,262 patients in the derivation cohort
- 90,257 in the validation cohort.
- The thirty-day readmission rates: 10.9% and 11.1%
- Average RAPSF score in the derivation cohort was 11.6 (std dev 6.8)



MUSCULOSKELETAL

 Readmission after posterior spine fusion (RAPSF score)

Variable	Score
Age	
<40	0
40-49	0
50-59 60-69	2
70-79	7
>80	13
Gender	
Male	0
Female	1
Race	
White	0
Hispanic	2
Diack	4
Unci	0
Insurance	
Commercial	0
Medicare	3
Other	6
Other	T
Levels	
1-2 Levels	0
3-7 levels	4
	15
Anterior Approach	3
Cerebrovascular disease	1
Chronic Pulmonary Disease	1
Congestive Heart Failure	2
Diabetes without Chronic Comp	1
Diabetes with Chronic Comp	2
Hemiplegia/Paraplegia	9
Mild Liver Disease	1
Renal Disease	1
Rheumatic disease	1
Drug abuse	3
Electrolyte disorder	3
Osteoporosis	1
Depression	1
Malnutrition	2
Obese	2
Morbidly obese	4
Total Score	100





- Derivation cohort
- Coefficient: 0.012
- R2 = 0.92







- Validation cohort:
- Coefficient: 0.013
- R2 = 0.95





MUSCULOSKELETAL

Update

- Published in Spine
- Accepted for presentation at:
- NASS
- Western Orthopedic Association meetings



CDMI

https://ucsf.co1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_9sr32Xa6hPb8UXH

UCCE		
Iniversity of California Jan Francisco		
Name(s) of evaluator(s)		
	Please predict the likelihood (%) that the patient will experience a MAJOR medical complication: MI, pneumonia,	Please predict which SURGICAL complication the patient will experience:
	renal failure, readmission, death	Dural tear
CASE 1: 70F independent non smoker, c/o low back pain, limited walking	0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Experience a MAJOR medical complication	Neurological deficit related to surgery (weakness, paralysis, numbness/tingling)
bility, and paresthesias to buttocks, diagnosed with scoliosis,	•	Return to OR
DDD, and lumbar stenosis.		
Prior Spine Surgeries: none	Please predict which MAJOR medical complication the	
PMH: supraventricular tachycardia, GERD, HTN	patient will experience:	MCID) as measured by either ODI (+10 points) or EO5D
Meds: verapamil, omeprazole, vitamin D3	м	(+0.15 point) at 6 months post-operatively?
Bone: +Osteopenia		Ves
BMI: 25	Pneumonia	105
ASA: 2		No
Exam: motor: 4/5 Left iliopsoas, EHL; sensory: diminished Left	Renal failure	
.4; no myelopathy		Please estimate the Length of Stay (days):
	Readmission	
ODI: Preop(-76) 48		
EQ5d : Preop(-76) 0.708	Death	Please rate the appropriateness of surgery (Scale of 1-10)
	0 1	Inappropriate Borderline Appropriate Mandato
Case 1 Cebec33 deg	Other	

Mandatory

Predicted Likelihood (%) of patient outcomes					
Outcome	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Count
Major Complic.	5	20	11.5	5.2	8
Minor Complic.	3	50	26.75	15.25	8
SSI	4	40	14.5	10.63	8
Surgical Complic.	5	50	22	13.9	8
LOS	4	8	6.13	1.17	8
Appropriateness	4	9	6.38	1.65	8

Predicted Major Complications		
Renal failure	12.50%	
Readmission	75.00%	
Death	0.00%	
Other	12.50%	

Predicted Minor Complications		
DVT	12.50%	
UTI	12.50%	
Other	75.00%	

Predicted Surgical Complications		
Dural tear	50.00%	
Neurological deficit related to surgery (weakness, paralysis,		
numbness/tingling)	37.50%	
Return to OR	12.50%	

Do you anticipate an MCID?	
Yes	100.00%
No	0.00%

Actual Patient Data				
.OS	8			
Complic.	Dural tear; underwent tendon transfer for foot drop after 1 year			
ACID?	No			



MUSCULOSKELETAL



SAGE Infection

or ton Durun Tour	SAGE	Dural	Tear
-------------------	------	-------	------

16.07%	
Surgical Invasiveness: 20	
17.79%	
Surgical Invasiveness: 25	
19.66%	
Surgical Invasiveness: 30	
21.66%	
Surgical Invasiveness: 35	

Surgical Invasiveness: 20	
12.22%	
Surgical Invasiveness: 25	
15.53%	
Surgical Invasiveness: 30	
10.54%	

NSQIP

Outcomes ()	,	Note: <u>Yo</u>	ur Pisk	has bee	אייעסי א	ked to o	ne deci	nal poin	e.		Your Risk	Average Risk	Chance of Outcome
Serious Complication		-20	00	141	- 50	00	70	. 80	00	100%	7.8%	11.0%	Below Averag
Any Complication		- 00	00	-42	50	00	- 10	80	80	100%	8.0%	11.3%	Below Averag
Pneumonia	10	120	30	1427	50	60	70	- 80	00	100%	0.4%	0.9%	Below Averag
Cardiac Complication	10	20	35	42	50	80	20	85	00	100%	0.1%	0.2%	Below Averag
Surgical Site Infection	10	20	30	42	50	80	70	80	90	100%	0.6%	0.7%	Below Averag
Urinary Tract Infection	10	20	30	42	50	60	70	80	90	100%	2.2%	1.5%	Above Averag
Venous Thromboembolism	10	20	30	-12	50	100	70	- 80	50	100%	0.7%	0.8%	Averag
Renal Failure	10	20	30	142	50	- 00	(70.)	80	90	100%	0.1%	0.2%	Below Averag
Readmission	10	20	90	43	50	00	-70	- 80	90	100%	4.1%	5.7%	Below Averag
Return to OR	10	- 20	35	45	50	60	70	80	00	100%	4.5%	5.8%	Below Averag
Death	10	20	35	40	50	80	- 72	85	90	100%	0.1%	0.4%	Below Averag
arge to Nursing or Rehab Facility		-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	44.6%	40.8%	Averag





- Current Data
 - 8 representative cases presented to group then compared against established predictive models (Sage NSQIP)
 - 56 individual responses for all 8 cases
- Update
 - Pending: Complete case presentations (20 total cases)
 - Pending: Data analysis
 - Pending: Manuscript preparation





Thank You

